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## SECTION I

## MAJOR FINDINGS

## I. SECTION IV A - CASH FLOW SUMMARY

* Net Cash Farm Income increased from \$31,597 in 1986 to $\$ 49,808$ in 1988.
* Total Cash Income increased to $\$ 58,002$ in 1988 from $\$ 38,797$ in 1986.
* Federal Government payments fell from 58.8 percent of Net Cash Farm Income in 1987 to 39.1 percent in 1988.


## II. SECTION IV B - DEBT-ASSET SUMMARY

* The average debt-asset ratio of 0.48 is down from its level of 0.50 in 1987.
* Debt obligations relative to asset holdings has declined steadily the past three years.
III. SECTION IV C - FINANCIAL CONDITION SUMMARY
* 53 percent of the farm operators were classified as being in a "strong" financial condition.


## IV. SECTION IV D - FARM ENTERPRISE SUMMARIES

*CROP FARMS

* Net Cash Operating Income varied between $\$ 19,176$ to $\$ 68,753$, depending on farm size.
* Large crop farms had a debt-asset ratio of 0.44 compared to 0.49 for smaller farming units.
* DAIRY FARMS
* Net Cash Operating Income varied between $\$ 26,957$ to $\$ 60,926$, depending on farm size.
* Large dairy farms had a debt-asset ratio of 0.51 compared to 0.59 for smaller farming units.
* CROP-DAIRY FARMS
*Net Cash Operating Income varied between $\$ 29,203$ to $\$ 69,726$, depending on farm size.
* Large dairy farms had a debt-asset ratio of 0.50 compared to 0.53 for smaller farming units.
* CROP-SWINE FARMS
* Net Cash Operating Income varied between $\$ 26,867$ to $\$ 77,892$, depending on farm size.
* The larger crop-swine operations had a debt-asset ratio of 0.44 compared to 0.55 for the smaller units.


## V. SECTION IV E - LAND TENURE SUMMARY

* Approximately 20 percent of the operators rented all the land in their operation.
* Two-thirds of these operators were less than 35 years old.
* The Addition to Owners Equity for renter-operators was $\$ 24,580$ compared to $\$ 18,944$ for owner-operators.
* The average debt-asset ratio for renter-operators was 0.44 compared to 0.52 for owner-operators.


## CONCLUSION

Cash flow has increased over 1986 and 1987 levels and debt levels have continued to decline over the past three years. Hence, in spite of the "Drought of " 88 ", Minnesota farm financial conditions have continued to improve in 1988.

## SECTION II

## DEFINITION OF TERMS

Liquidity Measures -- Measures the ability to make loan and debt payments as they come due during the year.

1. Net Cash Farm Income: Cash farm receipts from the sale of agriculitural products plus government payments, less cash production expenses. Interest is a cash expense; depreciation is not and is not included.
2. Off-Farm Income: Income earned from off farm employment.
3. Total Cash Income: The sum of Net Cash Farm Income and Off-Farm Income.
4. Current Ratio: Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities. This ratio is used to measure the liquidity available for paying short-term obligations. It shows the ability to pay debts as they come due during the year.
5. Working Capital Ratio: Current plus Intermediate Assets divided by Current plus intermediate Liabilities. This ratio reflects the ability of the farm business to maintain liquity and management flexibility to meet price decreases and other factors that negatively affect asset values. It is commonly understood that this ratio should be greater than $2: 1$ and increasing over time.
6. Debt Service Coverage Ratios
a. Earm Income, Alone: Net Cash Farm Income plus Interest Payments less Family Living and Incorne Taxes divided by debt service costs (Interest plus Principal Payments). This ratio reveals the ability to service debt, meet family living needs and pay taxes out of annual farm income. A ratio less than "I.O" indicates a problem in meeting debt service requirements.
b. Iotal Cash Income: Same as (a), above, except Off-Farm Income is included.

Solvency Measures -- Reflects the risk of the farm's financial structure and ability to repay all outstanding farm debt at a point in time.
7. Assels: Items of ownership having exchange value.
a. Current: Cash or other items that will be received, converted to cash, or consumed in production during one business year.
b. Intermediate: Items that support production and have an expected useful life of one-ten years.
C. Long-Term: ltems of a more permanent nature such as land, buildings and improvements.
8. Liabilities: Debts or monetary obligations.
a. Current: Obligations due and payable on demand within current accounting period.
b. Intermediate: Obligations that are expected to be repaid over a period on one to ten years.
c. Long-Term: Obligations with repayment terms of more than ten years.
9. Equity; Assets minus Liabilities.
10. Debt-Asset Ratio: Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets. This measure reflects the overal financial risk of the farm operator. It shows the amount of debt pledged against the assets of the farm. The larger the ratio, the greater the risk.

Profitablility Measures _- Measures the farm's profitabliity and indirectly reflects the farmer's managerial ability.
11. Return on Assets (\%): Net Farm Income plus Interest Payments minus Family Living divided by Average Total Assets. Measures the profitability in terms of the relationship to total assets employed on the farm including both debt and equity capital.

Efficiency Measures -- Measures the financial efficiency of the farm business. 12.Interest Expense -\% of Receipts: Interest Expense divided by Total Cash Receipts. This ratio shows the proportion of cash receipts that are used for interest payments.

## SECTION III

## INTRODUCTION

The 1989 Minnesota Farm Financial Report is a continuation of previous surveys authorized by the Farm Financial Data Collection Task Force of the Minnesota Legislature. The responsibility of the Task Force is to collect data on the farm financial conditions in Minnesota and report these findings to the State Legislature.

The financial data in this Report was obtained from participants in the Minnesota Technical College System Farm Management Program and from the Southeastern-Southwestern Minnesota Farm Business Management Associations (Extension Service). A total of 2,652 farm records from the Technical College program and 213 records from the Extension Service program were compiled and summarized in this Report. Appendix A contains the survey form used to gather the financial date used in this Report. Figure 1, below, shows the location of the participants in the 1989 Farm Financial Report. Technical College and Extension Service personnel assisted in the collection and analysis of this data.

A set of descriptive statistics for the farm operators included in this year's Report is contained in Appendix B.
Average values for operator age is 39 ; farm size is 534 acres; and net cash farm income is $\$ 49,808$. Both Technical College and Extension Service operators are identified, along with the result of combining the two sets of financial data ("Comb Data"). This data is also compared to 1987 Census data. The tables and graphs in this Report are based upon the combined set of data of farm operators.

LOCATION OF SURVEY PARTICIPANTS


Figure 1 - Surveys per County

## SECTION IV

## STATE WIDE SUMMARY

Cash Flow Summary<br>1986-1988

In spite of the "Drought of ' 88 ", cash flow increased over 1986 and 1987 levels, as seen in Table 1, below. Cash farm receipts increased thru improved prices for grain and oilseed crops, movement of reserve grain to market, Federal program support, disaster and emergency feed program payments, together with insurance proceeds. Additionally, a relatively strong beef market helped improve the earnings of both beef and dairy producers. Farm prices recived for Minnesota crop and livestock products is contained in Appendix C.

While cash production expenses were also higher, Net Cash Farm Income increased from $\$ 31,597$ in 1986 to $\$ 49,808$ in 1988. With the addition of OffFarm Income, Total Cash Income increased from $\$ 38,797$ in 1986 to $\$ 58,002$ in 1988.

|  | Table 1 <br> CASH FLOW SUMMARY $1986-1988$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1986 \\ \text { ALL FARMS } \\ (N=790) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1987 \\ \text { AL FARMS } \\ (N=978) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1988 \\ \text { ALL FARMS } \\ (\mathrm{N}=2865) \end{gathered}$ |
| ITEM |  |  |  |
| Cash Farm Receipts | \$129,708 | \$154,285 | \$173,176 |
| Cash Production Expenses | \$97,739 | \$117,751 | \$123,368 |
| NET CASH FARM INC | \$31,597 | \$37,156 | \$49,808 |
| Off-Farm Income | \$7,143 | \$8,354 | \$8,492 |
| TOTAL CASH INCOME | \$38,797 | \$45,595 | \$58,002 |
| "Fixed Obligations"2 | \$40,869 | \$40,737 | \$37,452 |

Number of Times "Fixed Obligations" Covered: ${ }^{3}$

| By Farm Income, Alone | 0.77 | 0.91 | 1.33 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| By Total Cash Income | 0.95 | 1.12 | 1.55 |

[^0]These income figures, however, need to be evaluated in light of the "Fixed Obligations" that have been committed to by the operators and their families. These financial commitments, which averaged $\$ 37,452$ for 1988 , include annual principal reduction payments, family living expenses and social security and income taxes.

The relationship between income and "Fixed Obligations" is seen in the lower portion of Table 1. This relationship is expressed as a ratio which indicates whether or not "Fixed Obligations" are fully covered by the two measures of income. This ratio will be " 1.0 " or greater, if these obligations are fully covered.

With improved income and "Fixed Obligations" remaining relatively steady, or declining, this ratio has strengthened in the past three years, indicating an improved cash flow situation for the operators included in this analysis.

These findings are confirmed by data for Minnesota compiled by the U.S. Department of Agriculture for the period 1983-1987. Total Cash Income has increased since 1984 and is comparable to the income levels cited in this Report. ${ }^{1}$

[^1]
## FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS

While still sizable, the level and proportion of Federal Government payments dropped sharply from 1987 levels (Table 2). With relatively strong commodity prices, deficiency payments were cut, and farmers received a greater proportion of their income from farm marketings and off farm employment.

Table 2
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS ${ }^{1}$ 1987-1988


## DEBT-ASSET SUMMARY

## AVERAGE DEBT-ASSET STRUCTURE

The average Debt-Asset structure of the operators in this analysis is shown in Figure 2, below. Total Assets of $\$ 378,000$ are more than twice the level of Total Liabilities of $\$ 182,000$. Hence, the Debt-Asset ratio of 0.48 is below the desired "bankers rule-of-thumb" of 0.50 for this measure of solvency.

Additional measures of solvency are reflected in the relationship between nonreal estate assets and liabilities. The Working Capital ratio reflects the degree of financial flexibility available to farm managers to meet business downturns. Its average value for the operators in this study is slightly above the commonly used standard of $2: 1$.


Figure 2
(1) Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets; (2) Current pius Intermediate Assets divided by Current plus Intermediate Liabilities; (3) Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities.

The Current Ratio reflects the operators ability to pay off annual debts as they come due during the year. For operators in this analysis, Current Assets averaged more than 3 times the level of Current Liabilites - a very strong current ratio position. (The judgements as to the normative values of these ratios are those of the reviewers of this report.)

## SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET

The change in the overall solvency position of the farm operators is reflected in Table 3, which shows the Balance Sheet at the end of 1987 and 1988. The major changes to be noted are the intermediate and long-term asset values.

The intermediate asset increases reflect increased inventory values and purchases of new farm equipment and breeding livestock during the year. Long-term assets have also increased reflecting both the purchase of real estate as well as the increase in land values. With debt levels remaining relatively stable, owners equity, by definition, shows a corresponding increase. This is also reflected in a slightly lower debt-asset ratio for 1988.

These observations are generally confirmed by findings of the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the University of Minnesota which are contained in Appendices E and F. Both reports confirm the increase in real estate and nonreal estate values.

Table 3
SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET 1987-1988

Dec. 31, 1987 Dec. 31, 1988
ITEM
ASSETS

| Current Assets | $\$ 92,226$ | $\$ 94,377$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Intermediate Assets | $\$ 63,044$ | $\$ 81,915$ |
| Long-Term Assets | $\$ 157,922$ | $\$ 161,441$ |
| Total Bus Assets | $\$ 313,192$ | $\$ 337,734$ |
| Personal Assets | $\$ 41.831$ | $\$ 40.223$ |
| TOTAL ASSETS | $\mathbf{\$ 3 5 , 0 2 3}$ | $\$ 377,957$ | LIABILITIES


| Current Liabilities | $\$ 23,409$ | $\$ 27,462$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Intermediate Liabilities | $\$ 52,615$ | $\$ 53,041$ |
| Long-Term Liabilities | $\$ 99,426$ | $\$ 98,396$ |
| Total Bus Liablifties | $\$ 175,450$ | $\$ 178,899$ |
| Personal Liabilities | $\$ 1.683$ | $\$ 3,676$ |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | $\$ 177,133$ | $\$ 182,575$ |
| EQUIIY |  |  |
| Business Equity | $\$ 137,742$ | $\$ 158,834$ |
| TOTAL EQUITY | $\$ 177,890$ | $\$ 195,382$ |
| TOT LIAB \& OWNER EQUITY $\$ 355,023$ | $\$ 377,957$ |  | RATIOS:


| Current Ratio ${ }^{1}$ | 3.94 | 3.43 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Working Capital Ratio |  |  |
| Debt-Asset Ratio $^{3}$ | 2.04 | 2.19 |
|  | 0.50 | 0.48 |

[^2]distribution by debtASSET RATIO
distribution by average DEBT-ASSET RATIO

The distribution of farm operators by average debt-asset ratio is shown in Figure 3. The proportion of operators in the 70 percent or more catagories declined from a year ago, while a greater number of farmers are now in the 11-70 percent range. The percent of those with no debt remained constant.


Figure 3

The table to the left are the data points for Figure 3. The proportion of operators in the 70 percent or greater catagories declined 4 percentage points from a year ago, while the number of farmers in the 11-70 percent range increased 5 percentage points. The percent of those with no debt remained constant at 4 percent.

The overall improvement in the average debt-asset structure by age of farm operator is reflected in Figure 4, below. Except for the 55-64 year-old group of operators, debt levels have consistently fallen, relative to asset holdings, among all age groups since 1986. This observation is consistant with the U.S.D.A. report cited in Appendix E. The most significant improvement was made by the younger set of operators.


Figure 4

## SUMMARY FARM FINANCIAL CONDITION

This section is a summary of the combined effect of cash flow and debt obligations into one statement of financial condition. An operators financial condition was measured by the value of his "Debt Service Coverage Ratio (DSCA)". This ratio combines both income and debt service obligations into one statement, and reveals the ability to service debt, meet family living needs and pay taxes out of annual income. The overall financial condition of Minnesota farmers in this analysis is shown in Figure 5, below.

## minnesota <br> FARM FINANCIAL CONDITION

1988


Figure 5

| DSCB ${ }^{1}$ | EINANCIAL CONDITION |
| :---: | :---: |
| $<0$ | Very Weak |
| $0-1.0$ | Weak |
| $1.0-1.5$ | Moderate |
| $>1.5$ | Strong |

## EXPLANATION ${ }^{2}$

Insufficient income to meet family living and pay taxes Problem in meeting debt service obligations Debt obligations are met, but limited funds for reinvestment in business Funds available to reinvest in business

[^3]As seen, approximately one-half of the operators in this analysis could be considered to be in a relatively strong financial condition at the close of 1988. This is compared to 35 percent of the operators in 1987 (Table 4, below).

Table 5
FINANCIAL CONDITION
1987-1988
(Percent of Operators)

|  | 1987 | 1.988 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| VERYWEAK | 19 | 10 |
| WEAK | 28 | 19 |
| MODERATE | 18 | 18 |
| STRONG | $\underline{35}$ | $\frac{53}{100}$ |
| TOTAL | 100 | 100 |

Improved net income together with reduced debt loads have caused many farm operators to move into an improved and stronger financial position.

## ENTERPRISE AND FARM SIZE SUMMARY

This Section provides a brief summary of the financial situation of the farm operators by major farm enterprise and farm size, regardless of their location in the State. The proportion of operators by major farm enterprise is seen in Figure 6, below.

Major farm enterprises were determined by the proportion of total cash farm receipts contributed by a given enterprise or combination of enterprises. If 75 percent, or more, of total receipts were contributed by an enterprise, it was classified accordingly.

Farm size was determined by the level of total cash farm receipts. Two sizes were determined: one, less than or equal to $\$ 150,000$ and the other, greater than $\$ 150,000$ in total cash farm receipts. (See Figure 5, Appendix B, page 44.).

Because of the wide variety of enterprises included in the "Other" enterprise classification, they were omitted from the following summaries.

## MAJOR FARM ENTERPRISES



Figure 6

## ALL CROP FARMS

SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT
1988

ITEM
Operating Receipts
Operating Exp. b/f Int Interest Expense
NET CASH OPERATING INC $\$ 19,176$
Depreciation Expense
\$8,294
\$7,783
Change in Value of Inv.
Extra Ordinary Income
Sale of Assets
Debt Forgiveness
BEFORE TAX RETURN
Inc Tax Paid (1987)
AFTER TAX RETURN
Off-Farm Income
Withdrawls (Fam Living)
ADD TO OWNER EQUITY
Table 7
SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET
Dec. 31, 1988
ITEM
ASSETS
Current Assets
Intermediate Assets
Long-Term Assets
Total Bus Assets
Personal Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
LIABILITIES
Current Liabilities
Intermediate Liabilitios
Long-Term Liabilities
Total Bus Llabillites
Personal Liabilities
TOTAL LIABILITIES
EQUITY
Business Equity
TOTAL EQUITY
TOT LIAB \& OWNER EQUITY
RATIOS:

| Current Ratio $^{1}$ | 2.72 | 3.40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Working Capital Ratio $^{2}$ | 1.89 | 2.32 |
| Debt-Asset Ratio $^{3}$ | 0.49 | 0.44 |

[^4]
## CROP FARMS AVERAGE FARM SIZE

Acres


Figure 7
The larger crop farmers operate nearly 1,200 acres, while the smaller operators farm about 500 acres. In comparing the larger units with the smaller, receipts, expenses, and net cash operating income are about 3 times larger; the addition to owner equity is nearly 4 times greater.

Assets, liabilities and owners equity are 2.0-2.5 times greater for the larger operators and they also reflect a greater degree of financial flexibility in comparing the liquidity ratios. Both sets of operators demonstrate a relatively sound solvency position by their debt-asset ratios.

## CROP FARMS <br> FINANCIAL CONDITION <br> 1988



Figure 8

| $\frac{\text { DSCR }}{} 1$ | EINANCIALCONDITION | EXPLANATION <br> $<0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Weak | Insufficient income to meet <br> family living and pay taxes |  |
| $0-1.0$ | Weak | Problem in meeting debt <br> service obligations |
| $1.0-1.5$ | Moderate | Debt obligations are met, <br> but limited funds for |
| $>1.5$ | Strong | reinvestment in business <br> Funds available to reinvest <br> in business |

[^5]ALL DAIRY FARMS
Table 8
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT 1988

|  | $\frac{5 \$ 150,000}{(N=459)}$ | $\frac{>\$ 150,000}{(N=142)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM |  |  |
| Operating Receipts | \$96,370 | \$221,607 |
| Operating Exp. b/f Int | \$59,287 | \$139,392 |
| Interest Expense | \$10.126 | \$21.289 |
| NET CASH OPERATING INC | \$26,957 | \$60,926 |
| Depreciation Expense | \$8,756 | \$21,385 |
| Change in Value of Inv. | \$1,563 | \$6,733 |
| Extra Ordinary Income |  |  |
| Sale of Assets | \$26 |  |
| Debt Forgiveness | \$118 | 87 |
| before tax return | \$19,908 | \$46,281 |
| Inc Tax Paid (1987) | \$1.017 | \$1.920 |
| AFTER TAX RETURN | \$18,891 | \$44,360 |
| Off-Farm Income | \$5,831 | \$4,382 |
| Withdrawls (Fam Living) | \$14.059 | \$19.317 |
| ADD TO OWNER EQUITY | \$10,662 | \$29,426 |



Figure 9
The larger dairy farms operate about 150 acres more than the smaller set. Their receipts, expenses, net cash operating income and the addition to owners equity is over twice that of the smaller units.

The comparison between the two sets of operators in terms of their assets and liabilities also reveals that the larger operators are about twice the size of the smaller units.

The larger units demsonstrate a greater degree of financial flexibility in comparing their liquidity ratios and show a slightly better solvency position with a lower debt-asset ratio.
1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

DAIRY FARMS
FINANCIAL CONDITION
1988


Figure 10

| $\frac{\text { DSCR }^{1}}{}$ - | EINANCIALCONDITION |
| ---: | :--- |
| 00 | Very Weak |
| $0-1.0$ | Weak |
| $1.0-1.5$ | Moderate |
| $>1.5$ | Strong |

## EXPLANATICN

Insufficient income to meet family living and pay taxes Problem in meeting debt service obligations Debt obligations are met, but limited funds for reinvestment in business Funds available to reinvest in business

[^6]
## ALL CROP - DAIRY FARMS

Table 10
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT 1988

|  | <\$150,000 | $\geq \$ 150.000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\mathrm{N}=137$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=147$ ) |
| ITEM |  |  |
| Operating Receipts | \$97,287 | \$234,215 |
| Operating Exp. b/f Int | \$58,027 | \$142,186 |
| Interest Expense | \$10.056 | \$22,303 |
| NET CASH OPERATING INC | \$29,203 | \$69,726 |
| Depreciation Expense | \$9,446 | \$22,825 |
| Change in Value of inv. | \$5,738 | \$11,611 |
| Extra Ordinary Income |  |  |
| Sale of Assets | - - | - - |
| Debt Forgiveness | $\cdots$ | \$136 |
| BEFORE TAX RETURN | \$25,495 | \$58,647 |
| Inc Tax Paid (1987) | \$1.835 | \$2.936 |
| AFTER TAX RETURN | \$23,660 | \$55,711 |
| Off-Farm Income | \$7,289 | \$6,580 |
| Withdrawis (Fam Living) | \$15.317 | \$22.621 |
| ADD TO OWNER EQUITY | \$15,633 | \$39,670 |
| Table 11 |  |  |

Dec. 31,1988
$<\$ 150.000$
$\geq \$ 150.000$

ITEM
ASSETS
Current Assets Intermediate Assets Long-Term Assets
Total Bus Assets
Personal Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
LIABILITIES
Current Liabijities
Intermediate Liabilities
Long-Term Liabilities
Total Bus Liablilties
Personal Liabilities
TOTAL LIABILITIES
EQUITY
Business Equity
TOTAL EQUITY
TOT LIAB \& OWNER EOUITY
RATIOS:

| Current Ratio $^{1}$ | 4.05 | 4.86 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Working Capital Ratio $^{2}$ | 2.16 | 2.29 |
| Debt-Asset Ratio $^{3}$ | 0.53 | 0.50 |

CROP-DAIRY FARMS
AVERAGE FARM SIZE


Figure 11

There is about a 200 acre difference in farm size between the two groups of farm operators.

In comparing the larger operations with the smaller, receipts, expenses, net cash operating income and the addition to owner equity is 2.0 2.5 times larger; assets, liabilities and owner equity is about 2 times greater; the larger units are in a slightly better liquidity and solvency position, but both sets of operators show a relatively sound financial position.

[^7]
## CROP-DAIRY FARMS

FINANCIAL CONDITION
1988


Figure 12

| $\frac{\text { DSCB }}{}{ }^{1}$ | $\frac{\text { FINANCIALCONDITION }}{<0}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $0-1.0$ | Very Weak |
| $1.0-1.5$ | Weak |
| $>1.5$ | Moderate |
|  | Strong |

## EXPLANATION

Insufficient income to meet family living and pay taxes Problem in meeting debt service obligations Debt obligations are met, but limited funds for reinvestment in business Funds available to reinvest in business

[^8]
## ALL CROP - SWINE FARMS

Table 12
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT 1988

|  | <\$150.000 | $\geq \$ 150.000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\mathrm{N}=229$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=266$ ) |
| ITEM |  |  |
| Operating Receipts | \$97,129 | \$258,977 |
| Operating Exp. b/f int | \$63,050 | \$164,064 |
| Interest Expense | \$7.211 | \$17.021 |
| NET CASH OPERATING INC | \$26,867 | \$77,892 |
| Depreciation Expense | \$9,349 | \$19,747 |
| Change in Value of inv. | \$4,137 | $(\$ 1,131)$ |
| Extra Ordinary Income |  |  |
| Sale of Assets | - - | \$813 |
| Debt Forgiveness | \$527 | \$829 |
| before tax return | \$22,182 | \$58,655 |
| Inc Tax Paid (1987) | \$2.500 | \$5.561 |
| after tax return | \$19,682 | \$53,094 |
| Off-Farm Income | \$10,067 | \$7,502 |
| Withdrawis (Fam Living) | \$16.063 | \$23.695 |
| ADD TO OWNER EQUITY | \$13,687 | \$36,901 |

Table 13
SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET
Dec. 31, 1988

## ITEM

ASSETS
Current Assets
Intermediate Assets
Long-Term Assets
Total Bus Assets
Personal Assets
TOTAL ASSETS LIABILITIES
Current Liabilities
Intermediate Liabilities
Long-Term Liabilities
Total Bus Llabllities
Personal Liabilities
total liabilities ECUITY
Business Equity
TOTAL EQUITY
TOT UAB \& OWNER EQUITY RATIOS:
Current Ratio ${ }^{1}$
Working Capital Ratio ${ }^{2}$
4.50

Debt-Asset Ratio ${ }^{3}$
2.06
4.12
$\qquad$
0.50
$\geq \$ 150.000$ ( $\mathrm{N}=266$ )
$\$ 134,456$

$$
\$ 81,579
$$

$\$ 217.513$
\$433.548 $\$ 47.162$
$\$ 480,710$

$$
\$ 32,877
$$

$\$ 56,409$
$\$ 124,443$
\$213,729 $\$ 3.061$
$\$ 216,790$
\$219,819
\$263,920
$\$ 480,710$

[^9]
## CROP-SWINE FARMS

## FINANCIAL CONDITION

1988


Figure 14

| $\frac{\text { DSCB }^{1}}{<0}$ | FINANCIALCONDITION |
| ---: | :--- |
| $0-1.0$ | Very Weak |
| $1.0-1.5$ | Weak |
| $>1.5$ | Moderate |
|  | Strong |

## EXPLANATION

Insufficient income to meet family living and pay taxes Problem in meeting debt service obligations Debt obligations are met, but limited funds for reinvestment in business Funds available to reinvest in business

[^10]ALL OTHER FARMS
Table 14
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT
1988

|  | $\frac{\$ 150,000}{(\mathrm{~N}=290)}$ | $>\frac{\$ 150.000}{(N=234)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM |  |  |
| Operating Receipts | \$84,794 | \$313,310 |
| Operating Exp. b/f int | \$52,765 | \$178,562 |
| Interest Expense | \$8.145 | \$23.921 |
| NET CASH OPERATING INC | \$23,884 | 110,827 |
| Depreciation Expense | \$7,374 | \$18,991 |
| Change in Value of Inv. | \$7,919 | \$25, 232 |
| Extra Ordinary Income |  |  |
| Sale of Assets | \$74 |  |
| Debt Forgiveness | - | \$274 |
| BEFORE TAX RETURN | \$24,502 | 117,342 |
| Inc Tax Paid (1987) | \$1.538 | \$3.528 |
| after tax return | \$22,864 | 113,814 |
| Off-Farm Income | \$10,991 | \$9,382 |
| Withdrawls (Fam Living) | \$14.642 | \$21.982 |
| ADD TO OWNER EQUITY | \$19,313 | 101,215 |
| Table 15 |  |  |



Figure 15

In comparing the larger operations with the smaller, there is about a 400 acre difference in farm size; receipts and expenses are over 3 times greater; the addition to owners equity is over 5 times greater; assets, liabilities and owners equity are approximately twice as great.

In comparing the financial ratios, there is not a significant difference between the two groups of operators.

## ALL OTHER FARMS <br> FINANCIAL CONDITON <br> 1988



Figure 16

| $\frac{\text { DSCR }}{}$ - | $\frac{\text { EINADCIALCONDITION }}{<0}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $0-1.0$ | Very Weak |
| $1.0-1.5$ | Weak |
| $>1.5$ | Moderate |
|  | Strong |

## EXPLANATION

Insuflicient income to meet family living and pay taxes Froblem in meeting debt service obligations Debt obligations are met, but limited funds for reinvestment in business Funds available to reinvest in business
${ }^{1}$ Debt Service Coverage Ratio: Total net cash income plus interest payments less family living and income taxes divided by debt service costs (interest plus principal payments).

## LAND TENURE SUMMARY

This Section of the Task Force Report presents a summary of operators who rent all the land in their operation, verses 100-percent owner-operators. Figure 11, below, shows the distribution of operators according to the percent of rented land in their operations.

The distinguishing feature of those who rent all their operation is their age. Fully two-thirds of these farmers are less than 35 years old. Most of their operations were cash crop farms, dairy or crop-swine operations. Appendix G contains a selected set of discriptive statistics comparing these two groups of farm operators.


Figure 17

## RENTER-OPERATOR vS OWNER-OPERATOR

Table 16
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT 1988
$100 \%$ RENT $100 \%$ OWNER

ITEM

| Operating Receipts | $\$ 126,634$ | $\$ 121,453$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Operating Exp. b/f Int | $\$ 84,964$ | $\$ 71,122$ |
| Interest Expense | $\$ 5.938$ | $\$ 13.292$ |
| NET CASH OPERATING INC | $\$ 35,733$ | $\$ 37,039$ |
| Depreciation Expense | $\$ 8,686$ | $\$ 11,194$ |
| Change in Value of Inv. | $\$ 8,473$ | $\$ 2,593$ |
| Extra Ordinary Income |  |  |
| Sale of Assets | $\$ 210$ | $\$ 26$ |
| $\quad$ Debt Forgiveness | $\$ 146$ | $\$ 346$ |
| BEFORE TAX RETURN | $\$ 35,875$ | $\$ 2 \mathbf{8 , 8 1 1}$ |
| Inc Tax Paid (1987) | $\$ 2.137$ | $\$ 2,105$ |
| AFTER TAX RETURN | $\$ 33,739$ | $\$ 26,706$ |
| Off-Farm Income | $\$ 7,337$ | $\$ 8,654$ |
| Withdrawls (Fam Living) | $\$ 16.495$ | $\$ 16.416$ |
| ADD TO OWNER EQUITY | $\$ 24,580$ | $\$ 18,944$ |

Table 17
SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET
Dec. 31, 1988

$$
\frac{100 \% \text { RENI }}{(\mathrm{N}=595)} \quad \frac{100 \% \text { OWNEB }}{(\mathrm{N}=484)}
$$

ASSEIS
Current Assets
Intermediate Assets
Long-Term Assets
Total Bus Assets
Personal Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
LIABILITIES
Current Liabilities
Intermediate Liabilities
Long-Term Liabilities
Total Bus Llablililes
Personal Liabilities
TOTAL LIABILITIES
EOUITY
Business Equity
TOTAL EQUITY
TOT LAB \& OWNER EQUITY RATIOS
Current Ratiol
3.82

Working Capital Ratio ${ }^{2}$
Debt-Asset Ratio ${ }^{3}$
2.27
1.90
0.44
0.52
3.54
$\$ 60,895$
\$69,990
$\$ 177.469$
\$308,354
\$38.573
$\$ 346,930$
\$17,180
$\$ 51,619$
$\$ 110.329$
\$179,138
$\$ 2.332$
$\$ 181,470$
\$129,217
\$165,460
\$346,930

[^11]RENTED vs OWNED AVERAGE FARM SIZE


Figure 18
Renter-operators farmed larger units as compared to owneroperators. Cash receipts were approximately the same; operating expenses were larger for the renter-operator; interest expense was larger for the owner-operator. While the difference in net cash operating income is small, the addition to owner equity, favored renteroperators.
The distinguishing feature of the balance sheets is the difference in long-term assets and liabilities. Renter-operators show greater financial flexibility in their liquidity ratios and have an advance in their solvency position with a lower debt-asset ratio.

## MEASURES OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Below are a series of ratios which have been computed from the farm financial data. (Table 15). Each ratio has three principle values: The "Upper Quartile", "Median", and "Lower Quartile". For any given ratio, these figures are calculated by first computing the value of the ratio for each farm operator in the sample. These values are then arrayed - "listed" - in order from the strongest to the weakest.

In such an array of ratio values, the figure which falls in the middle between the strongest and the weakest ratios is the "Median". The figure that falls halfway between the median and the strongest ratio is the "Upper Quartile". The figure that falls halfway between the median and the weakest ratio is the "Lower Quartile".

The major reason for using medians and quartiles instead of an average is to eliminate the influence which extreme values would have on an average. The method used more accurately reflects the ranges of ratio values than would a straight averaging method.

The spread (range) between the Upper and Lower Quartiles represents the middle $50 \%$ of all the surveys in the sample. Ratio values greater than the upper or less than the lower quartiles, therefore, begin to approach "extreme" values.

In interpreting ratios, the "strongest" or "best" value is not always the largest numerical value, nor is the "weakest" always the lowest numerical value. The ratios are grouped into four principal categories: liquidity, solvency, profitablility and efficiency. The "Definition of Terms" Section (Section II) provides additional details regarding the interpretation of these measures.

Table 18
MEASURES OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE
1988

| LOWERQUARTILE |  | MEDIAN | UPPER QUARTILE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATOR AGE | 31 | 37 | 46 |
| TOTAL LAND OPERATED | 260 | 400 | 641 |
| FINANCIAL MEASURES |  |  |  |
| LIQUITY MEASURES |  |  |  |
| NET CASH FARM INCOME TOTAL CASH INCOME | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 19,384 \\ & \$ 27466 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 35,160 \\ & \$ 43,471 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 60,259 \\ & 86 R \quad 457 \end{aligned}$ |
| CURRENT RATIO | 1.45 | 2.9 | 7.06 |
| WORKING CAPITAL RATIO | 1.25 | 2.09 | 4.39 |
| DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE RATIOS: |  |  |  |
| FARM INCOME, ALONE | 0.62 | 1.28 | 2.64 |
| TOTAL CASH ${ }^{\text {NCOM }}$ | 1.01 | 1.68 | 3.35 |
| SOLVENCY MEASURES |  |  |  |
| DEBT-ASSET RATIO | 0.28 | 0.5 | 0.73 |
| DEBT-EQUITY RATIO | 0.21 | 0.77 | 1.76 |
| PROFITABLITIY MEASURES |  |  |  |
| RETURN ON EQUITY(\%) | 0.76 | 6.06 | 12.99 |
| RETURN ON ASSETS(\%) | 2.92 | 5.38 | 8.25 |
| PROFIT MARGIN RATIO | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.33 |
| EFFICIENCY MEASURES |  |  |  |
| GROSS EXPENSE RATIO(\%) | 71.4 | 80.5 | 89.8 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE(\%) | 3.7 | 7.7 | 12.9 |

## SECTION V

## AREA SUMMARIES



Figure 19

## AREA SUMMARIES

This Section of the 1989 Report of the Farm Financial Task Force contains a brief summary of the financial condition of the 2,652 Technical College farm program participants. This data is presented by area, by farm enterprise and by farm size. The accompanying Figures show the boundaries of each of the Areas.

Major farm enterprises were determined by the proportion of total cash farm receipts contributed by a given enterprise or combination of enterprises. If 75 percent, or more, of total receipts were contributed by an enterprise, it was classified accordingly.

Farm size was determined by the level of total cash farm receipts. Two sizes were determined: one, less than or equal to $\$ 150,000$ and the other, greater than $\$ 150,000$ in total cash farm receipts. (See Figure 5, Appendix B, page 44).

Table 16, below, reveals the distribution of operators by area, enterprise and size of operation. As seen, cash crop farms predominate in Area 1, dairy operations in Area 2 and 3, and crop-swine units in Area 4. Because of the relatively few operators from Area 2, only a summary of the dairy operations is presented.

Table 19
DISTRIBUTION OF FARM OPERATORS
BY
AREA, ENTERPRISE AND FARM SIZE (Number of Operators)

| ENTERPRISESITE | AREA 1 | AREA 2 | AREA 3 | AREA 4 | IOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crops |  |  |  |  |  |
| < \$150,000 | 157 | 11 | 44 | 157 | 369 |
| > \$150,000 | 143 | 4 | 29 | 82 | 258 |
| Dairy 140 ( 605 |  |  |  |  |  |
| < \$150,000 | 140 | 60 | 253 | 32 | 485 |
| > \$150,000 | 26 | 23 | 84 | 22 | 155 |
| Crops-Dairy |  |  |  |  |  |
| < \$150,000 | 43 | 3 | 49 | 51 | 146 |
| > \$150,000 | 25 | 5 | 75 | 53 | 158 |
| Crops-Swine |  |  |  |  |  |
| < \$150,000 | 48 | 4 | 49 | 128 | 229 |
| > \$150,000 | 28 | 1 | 62 | 175 | 266 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| < \$150,000 | 92 | 35 | 68 | 120 | 315 |
| > \$150,000 | 74 | 6 | 80 | 111 | 271 |
| AREA TOTAL | 776 | 152 | 793 | 931 | 2652 |

## AREA 1



Figure 20

Table 20
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT 1988

ITEM
Operating Receipts
Operating Exp. b/f Int
\$88,234 \$276,961
Interest Expense
NET CASH OPERATING INC $\$ 17,865$ \$69,180
Depreciation Expense $\quad \$ 7,983 \quad \$ 19,760$
Change in Value of Inv. $\quad \$ 7,663 \quad \$ 14,254$ Extra Ordinary Income

Sale of Assets
Debt Forgiveness
BEFORE TAX RETURN
Inc Tax Paid (1987)
AFTER TAX RETURN
Off-Farm Income
Withdrawls (Fam Living)
ADD TO OWNER EQUITY
Table 21
SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET
Dec. 31, 1988

## ITEM

ASSEIS

| Current Assets | \$51,125 | \$141,950 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Assets | \$49,449 | \$135,681 |
| Long-Term Assets | \$116.233 | \$285,462 |
| Total Bus Assets | \$216,808 | \$563,074 |
| Personal Assets | \$33.023 | \$73,085 |
| TOTAL ASSETS LIABILITIES | \$249,831 | \$636,159 |
| Current Liabilities | \$24,094 | \$53,224 |
| Intermediate Liabilities | \$41,198 | \$72,054 |
| Long-Term Liabilities | \$71.507 | \$142.587 |
| Total Bus Liablities | \$136,799 | \$274,865 |
| Personal Liabilities | \$2.979 | \$7.011 |
| total liabilities | \$139,779 | \$281,877 |
| EQUITY |  |  |
| Business Equity | \$80,008 | \$288,209 |
| TOTAL EQUITY | \$110,052 | \$354,282 |
| TOT LAB \& OWNER EQUITY | \$249,831 | \$636,159 |
| RATIOS: |  |  |
| Current Ratio ${ }^{1}$ | 2.06 | 2.88 |
| Working Capital Ratio ${ }^{2}$ | 1.55 | 2.42 |
| Debt-Asset Ratio ${ }^{3}$ | 0.56 | 0.4 |

[^12]Table 22
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT 1988

|  | <\$150.000 | $\geq \$ 150.000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\mathrm{N}=41$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=24$ ) |
| ITEM |  |  |
| Operating Receipts | \$97,808 | \$240,912 |
| Operating Exp. b/f Int | \$59,281 | \$148,101 |
| Interest Expense | \$9.653 | \$26.541 |
| NET CASH OPERATING INC | \$28,875 | \$66,269 |
| Depreciation Expense | \$7,994 | \$23,098 |
| Change in Value of Inv. | \$9,066 | \$11,023 |
| Extra Ordinary Income |  |  |
| Sale of Assets |  |  |
| Debt Forgiveness |  |  |
| BEFORE TAX RETURN | \$29,947 | \$54,194 |
| Inc Tax Paid (1987) | \$1.870 | \$1.235 |
| AFTER TAX RETURN | \$28,076 | \$52,959 |
| Off-Farm Income | \$8,061 | \$5,283 |
| Withdrawls (Fam Living) | \$13.844 | \$30.503 |
| ADD TO OWNER EQUITY | \$22,293 | \$27,739 |
| Table 23 |  |  |

Dec. 31, 1988

|  | $\leq \$ 150.000$ | $\geq \$ 150,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | ( $\mathrm{N}=43$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=25$ ) |
| ASSETS |  |  |
| Current Assets | \$45,036 | \$99,946 |
| Intermediate Assets | \$69,041 | \$162,654 |
| Long-Term Assets | \$1.18.793 | \$247.184 |
| Total Bus Assets | \$232,870 | \$509,785 |
| Persona! Assets | \$28.132 | \$41.197 |
| TOTAL ASSETS LIABILITIES | \$261,002 | \$550,981 |
| Current Liabilities | \$17,002 | \$40,385 |
| Intermediate Liabilities | \$50,904 | \$143,496 |
| Long-Tarm Liabilities | \$76.933 | \$148.658 |
| Total Bus Liabilitles | \$144,839 | \$332,540 |
| Personal Liabilities | \$2.455 | \$343 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES EQUITY | \$147,294 | \$332,883 |
| Business Equity | \$88,031 | \$177,245 |
| TOTAL EQUITY | \$113,708 | \$218,098 |
| TOT LIAB \& OWNER EQUITY RATIOS: | \$261,002 | \$550,981 |
| Current Ratio ${ }^{1}$ | 2.65 | 2.47 |
| Working Capital Ratio ${ }^{2}$ | 1.68 | 1.43 |
| Debt-Asset Ratio ${ }^{3}$ | 0.56 | 0.60 |

[^13]DAIRY FARMS
Table 24
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT

1988
$\frac{\leq \$ 150.000}{(N=135)}$
ITEM

SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET
Dec. 31, 1988

ITEM
ASSEIS
Current Assets
Intermediate Assets
Long-Term Assets
Total Bus Assets
Personal Assets
TOTAL ASSETS LIABILITIES
Current Liabilities Intermediate Liabilities Long-Term Liabilities Total Bus Llablilitios
Personal Liabilities
total liabilities EOUITY
Business Equity
TOTAL EQUITY
TOT LIAB \& OWNER EQUITY
RATIOS:
Current Ratio ${ }^{1}$
2.31
2.33

Working Capital Ratio ${ }^{2}$
Debt-Asset Ratio ${ }^{3}$
<\$150.000
( $\mathrm{N}=135$ )
\$32,072
\$76,510
$\$ 96.673$
\$205,255
$\$ 23.199$
\$228,455
\$14,356
\$46,534
$\$ 73,186$
$\$ 134,076$
$\$ 2.751$
\$136,827
\$71,179
\$81,628
\$228,455
2.06

Current assets divided by current liabilities.
2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

DAIRY FARMS AVERAGE FARM SIZE


Figure 23

There is about a 250 acre difference in farm size between the larger and smaller operations. Receipts, expenses and net cash farm income of the larger units are about twice that of the smaller units.

The same is true about the relationship between assets and liabilites and owners equity is nearly 1.5 times greater for the larger operations.

In comparing the financial ratios, both sizes are very similiar to one another.

Table 26
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT
1988
$\frac{\leq \$ 150.000}{(N=43)} \quad \frac{>150.000}{(N=28)}$

ITEM
Operating Receipts
Operating Exp. b/f Int
Interest Expense
$\$ 92,392 \quad \$ 228,611$
\$64,492 \$146,895
NET CASH OPERATING INC \$21,061 \$66,724
Depreciation Expense
Change in Value of Inv.
Extra Ordinary Income
Sale of Assets
Debt Forgiveness
BEFORE TAX RETURN
Inc Tax Paid (1987)
AFTER TAX RETURN
Off-Farm Income
Withdrawls (Fam Living)
ADD TO OWNER EQUITY
$\$ 8,613 \quad \$ 19,214$
$\$ 2,323 \quad \$(5,997)$

|  | - |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 11$ | $\$ 3,928$ |
| $\$ 14,782$ | $\$ 45,442$ |
| $\$ 1,800$ | $\$ 3.464$ |
| $\$ 12,982$ | $\$ 41,978$ |
| $\$ 8,577$ | $\$ 6,779$ |
| $\$ 16.425$ | $\$ 25,425$ |
| $\$ 5,135$ | $\$ 23,331$ |

Table 27
SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET
Dec. 31, 1988

ITEM
$\frac{\leq \$ 150.000}{(\mathrm{~N}=48)} \quad \frac{\geq 150.000}{(\mathrm{~N}=28)}$

ASSETS

Current Assets
Intermediate Assets
Long-Term Assets
Total Bus Assets
Personal Assets
TOTAL ASSETS LIABILITJES
Current Liabilities
Intermediate Liabilities
Long-Term Liabilities
Total Bus Llabilities
Personal Liabilities
TOTAL LIABILITIES
EQUITY
Business Equity
TOTAL EQUITY
TOT LIAB \& OWNER EQUITY
RATIOS:

| Current Ratio | 2.16 | 2.76 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Working Capital Ratio |  |  |
| Debt-Asset Ratio $^{3}$ | 1.24 | 1.66 |
|  | 0.71 | 0.53 |

CROP-SWINE FARMS AVERAGE FARM SIZE


Figure 24

While there is only a 275 acre difference in farm size between the two sizes of operations, net cash farm income is 3 times greater for the larger units and the addition to owners equity is nearly 5 times greater.

Assets and liabilities are 1.5 to 2.5 times greater for the larger farms and net worth is 3.5 times greater.

In comparing the ratios, liquidity for both sizes is tight, but the larger units are in a better solvency position.

[^14]
## ALL OTHER FARMS

Table 28
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT 1988

ITEM


Dec. 31, 1988

## ITEM

ASSEIS
Current Assets
Intermediate Assets
Long-Term Assets
Total Bus Assets
Personal Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
LABILITIES
Current Liabilities
Intermediate Liabilities
Long-Term Liabilities
Total Bus Llabillties
Personal Liabilities
TOTAL LIABILITIES
EQUTIY
Business Equity
\$86,771
TOTAL EQUITY
TOT UAB \& OWNER EQUITY
BATIOS:

| Current Ratio |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Working Capital Ratio | 2.03 |  |
| Debt-Asset Ratio $^{3}$ | 1.75 | 1.64 |
|  | 0.54 | 0.54 |

## ALL OTHER FARMS AVERAGE FARM SIZE



Figure 25

In comparing the larger operations with the smaller, there is about an 650 acre difference in farm size; receipts and expenses are approximately 3-4 times greater; the addition to owners equity is 5 times greater; assets, liabilities and owners equity is nearly 3 times greater.

In comparing the financial ratios, there is not a significant difference between the two groups of operators.

[^15]
## NORTH-NORTHEAST <br> AREA SUMMARY

## AREA 2



Figure 26

DAIRY FARMS
Table 30
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT
1988


Dec. 31, 1988

|  | $\leq \$ 150.000$ | $\geq \$ 150.000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | ( $\mathrm{N}=60$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=23$ ) |
| ASSEIS |  |  |
| Current Assets | \$31,757 | \$73,243 |
| Intermediate Assets | \$79,420 | \$166,531 |
| Long-Term Assets | \$91.388 | \$167.456 |
| Total Bus Assets | \$202,566 | \$407,231 |
| Personal Assets | \$44.572 | \$49.520 |
| TOTAL ASSETS LIABMITIES | \$247,138 | \$456,751 |
| Current Liabilities | \$16,702 | \$24,682 |
| Intermediate Liabilities | \$55,723 | \$87,117 |
| Long-Term Liabilitios | \$71.505 | \$103.730 |
| Total Bus Llabilities | \$143,930 | \$215,528 |
| Personal Liabilities | \$1.179 | \$2,697 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES EQUTY | \$145,109 | \$218,226 |
| Business Equity | \$58,636 | \$191,702 |
| TOTAL EQUITY | \$102,029 | \$238,525 |
| TOT LIAB \& OWNER EQUITY RATIOS: | \$247,138 | \$456,751 |
| Current Ratio ${ }^{1}$ | 1.87 | 3.15 |
| Working Capital Ratio ${ }^{2}$ | 1.52 | 2.19 |
| Debt-Asset Ratio ${ }^{3}$ | 0.59 | 0.47 |

[^16]DAIRY FARMS AVERAGE FARM SIZE


Figure 27
On average, the larger dairy operators farm about 180 acres more land than the smaller ones. Their receipts and expenses are about twice the size of the smaller units and the Addition to Owners Equity is over 3.5 times greater.

Asset holdings of the larger units are about twice the size of the smaller farms, while liabilites are about 1.5 times as great. Total Equity of the larger units is over twice that of the smaller operations.

The differences in the financial ratios reveals the tightness of the liquidity position of the smaller dairy units, and they are carrying a heavier debt load relative to their asset holdings.

CENTRAL-SOUTHEAST
AREA SUMMARY
AREA 3


## CROP FARMS

Table 32
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT
1988
$\frac{\leq \$ 150.000}{(\mathrm{~N}=41)} \quad \frac{\geq \$ 150.000}{(\mathrm{~N}=25)}$

ITEM
Operating Receipts
\$86,139 \$247,571
Operating Exp. b/f Int
\$63,464 \$145,907
$\$ 8.470 \quad \$ 22.029$

Depreciation Expense $\$ 7,725 \quad \$ 15,343$
Extra Ordinary Income
Sale of Assets
Debt Forgiveness
BEFORE TAX RETURN
Inc Tax Paid (1987)
AFTER TAX RETURN
Off-Farm Income
Withdrawls (Fam Living)
ADD TO OWNER EQUITY

|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\ldots$ | $\$ 3,004$ |
| $\cdots$ | $\$ 3,004$ |
| $\$ 11,231$ | $\$ 76,705$ |
| $\$ 2.637$ | $\$ 6.467$ |
| $\$ 8,594$ | $\$ 70,237$ |
| $\$ 10,971$ | $\$ 12,246$ |
| $\$ 17.648$ | $\$ 24,473$ |
| $\$ 1,916$ | $\$ 58,010$ |

Table 39
SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET
Dec. 31, 1988

|  | $\leq \$ 150.000$ | $\geq \$ 150.000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | ( $\mathrm{N}=41$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=25$ ) |
| ASSEIS |  |  |
| Current Assets | \$73,629 | \$179,169 |
| Intermediate Assets | \$47,414 | \$80,148 |
| Long-Term Assets | \$123.998 | \$249.707 |
| Total Bus Assets | \$245,040 | \$509,024 |
| Personal Assets | \$45.166 | \$45.003 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |
| Current Liabilities | \$20,299 | \$27,298 |
| Intermediate Liabilities | \$35,603 | \$79,651 |
| Long-Term Liabilities | \$73.506 | \$157,016 |
| Total Bus Llabllities | \$129,409 | \$263,965 |
| Personal Liabilities | \$7.212 | \$3.528 |
| tOTAL LIABILITIES EOUTTY | \$136,621 | \$267,493 |
| Business Equity | \$115,631 | \$245,059 |
| TOTAL EQUITY | \$153,586 | \$286,534 |
| TOT LIAB \& OWNEREQUITY RATIOS: | \$290,207 | \$554, 027 |
| Current Ratiol | 3.67 | 8.11 |
| Working Capital Ratio ${ }^{2}$ | 2.26 | 2.29 |
| Debt-Asset Ratio ${ }^{3}$ | 0.45 | 0.48 |

[^17]CROP FARMS
AVERAGE FARM SIZE


Figure 29

There is about a 425 acre difference is farm size between the two sizes of units. The difference in net farm income and the addition to owner equity between the two sizes is quite dramatic.

Assets, liabilities and owners equity for the larger units is about twice that of the smaller operations.

In comparing the ratios, the larger farms reflect a greater degree of liquidity, but both show a relatively good solvency position.

Table 34
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT
1988


Dec. 31, 1988

## ITEM

## ASSETS

Current Assets
Intermediate Assets
Long-Term Assets
Total Bus Assets
Personal Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
LIABILITIES
Current Liabilities
Intermediate Liabilities
Long-Term Liabilities
Total Bus Llabllities
Personal Liabilities
TOTAL LIABILITIES
EQUITY
Business Equity
TOTAL EQUITY
TOT LIAB \& OWNER EOUITY
RATIOS:
Current Ratio ${ }^{1}$
4.75
2.09
0.59

Working Capital Ratio ${ }^{2}$
Debt-Asset Ratio ${ }^{3}$
$\geq \$ 150.000$ ( $\mathbf{N}=69$ )
\$112,189
$\$ 145,453$
$\$ 195.236$
\$452,873 $\$ 40.372$
$\$ 493,245$
\$24,049
$\$ 78,098$
$\$ 145.308$
$\$ 247,454$ \$2,430
$\$ 249,884$
$\$ 205,418$
$\$ 243,361$
$\$ 493,245$
4.86

1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
3 Total diabilities divided by total assets.

CROP-DAIRY FARMS AVERAGE FARM SIZE


Figure 30

In comparing the larger operations with the smaller ones, receipts, expenditures, net operating income and the addition to owners equity are about 2.5 times greater.

Assets and liabilities are 1.5 times greater and net worth is over twice as large.

The larger operations reflect slightly more liquidity in comparing the ratios and both sizes of units have a higher debtasset ratio compared to cash crop operations.

## DAIRY FARMS

Table 36
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT 1988


Table 37
SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET
Dec. 31, 1988
ITEM
ASSEIS

Current Assets
Intermediate Assets Long-Term Assets
Total Bus Assets
Personal Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
LAB]LITIES
Current Liabilities
Intermediate Liabilities
Long-Term Liabilities
Total Bus Llabllities
Personal Liabilities
TOTAL LIABILITIES EOUTIY
Business Equity
TOTAL EQUITY
TOT LIAB \& OWNER EQUITY BATIOS:
Current Ratiol
Working Capital Ratio ${ }^{2}$
Debt-Asset Ratio ${ }^{3}$

( $\mathrm{N}=234$ )
\$35,725
\$74,964
$\$ 85.362$
\$196,051
$\$ 28.249$
\$224,300
\$13,097
\$50,817
$\$ 74.568$
\$138,482
$\$ 1.796$
$\$ 140,279$
\$57,569
\$84,021
$\$ 224,300$
2.76
1.78
0.61
4.05
2.59
$\geq \$ 150.000$
\$94,625
\$186,535
$\$ 231.734$
\$512,894
$\$ 42.245$
$\$ 555,140$
\$23,528
\$87,199
\$169,620
$\$ 280,347$
$\$ 833$
$\$ 281,180$
\$232,547
\$273,859 \$555,140
0.49

[^18]DAIRY FARMS
AVERAGE FARM SIZE
 FARM SIZE CLASS

Figure 31
The number of smaller operations in this Area Summary is 3 times that of the larger units and reflect a much tighter financial situation. Receipts, expenses and net farm income is less than one-half that of the larger units and the addition to owner equity is less than one-third.

Assets and liabilities of the smaller farms are about one-half the size of the larger ones and their net worth is less than onethird of that of the larger units.

The differences in the ratios reveals the tightness of the liquidity and solvency position of the smaller dairy units.

## CROP - SWINE FARMS

Table 38
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT 1988

ITEM
Operating Receipts Operating Exp. b/f Int Interest Expense
NET CASH OPERATING INC
Depreciation Expense
Change in Value of Inv.
Extra Ordinary income Sale of Assets Debt Forgiveness
BEFORE TAX RETURN
Inc Tax Paid (1987)
AFTER TAX RETURN
Off-Farm Income
Withdrawls (Fam Living)
ADD TO OWNER EQUITY
Table 39
SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET
Dec. 31, 1988
ITEM
ASSEIS
Current Assets
Intermediate Assets
Long-Term Assets
Total Bus Assets
Personal Assets
TOTAL ASSETS LIABILITIES
Current Liabilities
Intermediate Liabilities
Long-Term Liabilities
Long-Term Liabilities
Total Bus Liabilities
Personal Liabilities
TOTAL LIABILITIES
EQUITY
Business Equity
TOTAL EQUITY
TOT LIAB \& OWNER EQUITY
$\frac{\leq \$ 150.000}{(N=45)}$
$\geq \$ 150.000$
( $\mathrm{N}=58$ )
$\frac{\leq \$ 150.000}{(N=45)} \quad \frac{\$ 150.000}{(N=58)}$
( $\mathrm{N}=45$ )
$\begin{array}{rr}\$ 95,247 & \$ 225,306 \\ \$ 56,530 & \$ 137,872 \\ \$ 9,818 & \$ 20,247 \\ \$ 28,898 & \$ 67,186 \\ \$ 10,265 & \$ 19,554 \\ \$ 5,296 & \$ 7,776\end{array}$
$\$ 290$
$\$ 55,698$
$\$ 3.156$
\$52,542
$\$ 5,541$
$\$ 21.750$
$\frac{\$ 15.164}{\$ 13,873} \quad \$ 361.750$
\$49,672
$\$ 65,549$
$\$ 105.151$
$\$ 220,373$
$\$ 31.625$
$\$ 251,998$
$\$ 10,774$
$\$ 43,151$
$\$ 86.956$
$\$ 140,881$
$\$ 9.792$
$\$ 150,673$
\$79,492
$\$ 101,324$
$\$ 251,998$
BATIOS:
Current Ratio ${ }^{1}$
4.75

Working Capital Ratio ${ }^{2}$
2.09

Debt-Asset Ratio ${ }^{3}$
0.59
4.86
2.50
\$112,189
\$145,453
$\$ 195.236$
$\$ 452,873$
$\$ 40.372$
\$493,245
$\$ 24,049$
\$78,098
$\$ 145.308$
$\$ 247,454$
$\$ 2.430$
$\$ 249,884$
\$205,418
\$243,361
\$493,245
0.51

## CROP - SWINE FARMS

AVERAGE FARM SIZE


Figure 15
Figure 32

In comparing the larger units with the smaller operations, there is about a 225 acre difference in farm size; receipts, expenses, net cash farm income and the addition to owners equity are all about 2.5 times larger.

Assets, liabilities and owners equity are over 1.5 times greater for the larger units.

A comparison of the financial ratios for the two sets of operators reveals that the larger units have a slight edge in both their liquidity and solvency positions.

[^19]ALL OTHER FARMS
Table 40
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT 1988

ITEM

| Operating Receipts | $\$ 90,740$ | $\$ 278,385$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Operating Exp. b/f Int | $\$ 56,576$ | $\$ 171,438$ |
| Interest Expense | $\$ 9.433$ | $\$ 25,107$ |
| NET CASH OPERATING INE | $\$ 24,731$ | $\$ 81,840$ |
| Depreciation Expense | $\$ 8,204$ | $\$ 18,892$ |
| Change in Value of Inv. | $\$ 6,247$ | $\$ 17,073$ |
| Extra Ordinary Income |  |  |
| Sale of Assets | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Debt Forgiveness | - | - |
| BEFORE TAX RETURN | $\$ 22,774$ | $\$ 80,020$ |
| Inc Tax Paid (1987) | $\$ 2.196$ | $\$ 3.298$ |
| AFTER TAX RETURN | $\$ 20,577$ | $\$ 76,722$ |
| Off-Farm Income | $\$ 10,780$ | $\$ 7,130$ |
| Withdrawls (Fam Living) | $\$ 14.129$ | $\$ 19,932$ |
| ADD TO OWNER EQUITY | $\$ 17,248$ | $\$ 83,921$ |

Table 41
SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET
Dec. 31, 1988

ITEM
ASSEIS
Current Assets
Intermediate Assets
Long-Term Assets
Total Bus Assets
Personal Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
LIABILITIES
Current Liabilities
Intermediate Liabilities
Long-Term Liabilities
Total Bus Llabilitios
Personal Liabilities
TOTAL LIABILITIES
EQUITY
Business Equity
TOTAL EQUITY
TOT UAB \& OWNER EOUTTY
RATIOS:

| Current Ratio |  | 3.21 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Working Capital Ratio |  | 5.90 |
| Debt-Asset Ratio $^{3}$ | 2.74 | 2.21 |
|  | 0.49 | 0.54 |

[^20]Toll - CH 会

## SOUTH-SOUTHWEST AREA SUMMARY

 AREA 4

Figure 34

CROP FARMS
Table 42
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT 1988

|  | <\$150.000 | $\geq \$ 150,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\mathrm{N}=137$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=73$ ) |
| ITEM |  |  |
| Operating Receipts | \$83,022 | \$235,953 |
| Operating Exp. b/f Int | \$52,185 | \$152,305 |
| Interest Expense | \$7.891 | \$19,461 |
| NET CASH OPERATING INC | \$22,945 | \$64,177 |
| Depreciation Expense | \$7,422 | \$17,219 |
| Change in Value of Inv. | \$8,425 | \$15,582 |
| Extra Ordinary Income |  |  |
| Sale of Assets |  |  |
| Debt Forgiveness |  |  |
| BEFORE TAX RETURN | \$23,948 | \$62,540 |
| Inc Tax Paid (1987) | \$2.971 | \$4.824 |
| AFTER TAX RETURN | \$ 20,977 | \$57,717 |
| Off-Farm Income | \$11,697 | \$10,265 |
| Withdrawls (Fam Living) | \$15.455 | \$20.539 |
| ADD TO OWNER EQUITY | \$17,219 | \$47,443 |
| Table 43 |  |  |

Dec. 31, 1988

## ITEM

ASSEIS
Current Assets
Intermediate Assets
Long-Term Assets
Total Bus Assets
Personal Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
HABULITIES
Current Liabilities
Intermediate Liabilities
Long-Term Liabilities
Total Bus Llablilties
Personal Liabilities
TOTAL LIABILITIES
EQUITY
Business Equity
TOTAL EQUITY
TOT LIAB \& OWNER EQUITY
BATIOS:

| Current Ratio |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Working Capital Ratio | 3.74 | 3.63 |
| Debt-Asset Ratio | 3.12 |  |
|  | 2.39 | 2.12 |

## CROP FARMS <br> AVERAGE FARM SIZE



Figure 35

There is about a 400 acre difference in farm size between the two sizes of operations. Receipts, expenses, net cash operating income and the addition to owners equity are all over 2.5 times greater for the larger farming units.

Assets, liabilities and owners equity of the larger units are 2.0-2.5 times greater than the smaller ones.

A comparison of the financial ratios reveals that both sizes of operations are in a relatively good financial position in terms of liquidity and solvency.

[^21]
## CROP - DAIRY FARMS

Table 44
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT 1988

|  | $\leq \$ 150.000$ | $2 \$ 150.000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\mathrm{N}=47$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=49$ ) |
| ITEM |  |  |
| Operating Receipts | \$99,778 | \$247,548 |
| Operating Exp. b/f Int | \$58,516 | \$148,244 |
| Interest Expense | \$9,982 | \$23,626 |
| NET CASH OPERATING INC | \$31,280 | \$75,679 |
| Depreciation Expense | \$10,029 | \$27,940 |
| Change in Value of Inv. | \$3,926 | \$17,302 |
| Extra Ordinary Income |  |  |
| Sale of Assets | - - |  |
| Debt Forgiveness |  |  |
| BEFORE TAX RETURN | \$25,177 | \$65,040 |
| Inc Tax Paid (1987) | \$2.019 | \$3.711 |
| AFTER TAX RETURN | \$23,158 | \$61,330 |
| Off-Farm Income | \$5,872 | \$8,016 |
| Withdrawis (Fam Living) | \$16.032 | \$20,498 |
| ADD TO OWNER EQUITY | \$12,997 | \$48,849 |
| Table 45 | NCE SHE |  |

Dec. 31, 1988

ITEM
ASSETS

Current Assets Intermediate Assets Long-Term Assets
Total Bus Assets
Personal Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
LIABLLITIES
Current Liabilities
Intermediate Liabilities
Long-Term Liabilities
Total Bus Llabilities
Personal Liabilities
TOTAL LIABILITIES
EQUITY
Business Equity
TOTAL EGUITY
TOT LIAB \& OWNER EQUITY
RATIOS:

| Current Ratio |  | 5.70 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Working Capital Ratio | 8.39 |  |
| Debt-Asset Ratio |  | 2.96 |
| 2.91 |  |  |
|  | 0.42 | 0.44 |

[^22]3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

## DAIRY FARMS

Table 46
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT
1988

ITEM

| Operating Receipts | $\$ 95,371$ | $\$ 191,211$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Operating Exp. b/f Int | $\$ 57,316$ | $\$ 119,492$ |
| Interest Expense | $\$ 10.011$ | $\$ 22.567$ |
| NET CASH OPERATING INC | $\$ 28,043$ | $\$ 49,151$ |
| Depreciation Expense | $\$ 9,916$ | $\$ 21,951$ |
| Change in Value of Inv. | $\$ 3,288$ | $\$ 5,063$ |
| Extra Ordinary income |  |  |
| Sale of Assets | $\ldots$ |  |
| Debt Forgiveness | $\ldots-$ |  |
| BEFORE TAX RETURN | $\$ 21,415$ | $\$ 32,264$ |
| Inc Tax Paid (1987) | $\$ 2,440$ | $\$ 2.626$ |
| AFTER TAX RETURN | $\$ 18,975$ | $\$ 29,638$ |
| Off-Farm Income | $\$ 8,236$ | $\$ 5,301$ |
| Withdrawis (Fam Living) | $\$ 14.185$ | $\$ 17.683$ |
| ADD TO OWNER EQUITY | $\$ 13,026$ | $\$ 17,257$ |

SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET
Dec. 31, 1988

## ITEM

$\frac{\leq \$ 150,000}{(\mathrm{~N}=32)} \quad \frac{\geq 150.000}{(\mathrm{~N}=22)}$

ASSETS
Current Assets
Intermediate Assets
Long-Term Assets
Total Bus Assets
Personal Assets
TOTAL ASSETS LIABILITIES
Current Liabilities Intermediate Liabilities
Long-Term Liabilities
Total Bus Liabllities
Personal Liabilities
TOTAL LIABILITIES

## EOUITY

Business Equity
TOTAL EQUITY
TOT LIAB \& OWNER EQUITY RATIOS:

| Current Ratio ${ }^{1}$ | 4.91 | 7.52 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Working Capital Ratio | 2.61 | 2.82 |
| Debt-Asset Ratio $^{3}$ | 0.43 | 0.51 |

## DAIRY FARMS <br> AVERAGE FARM SIZE



Figure 37

There is a little over a 100 acre difference in farm size between the two sizes of operation.

Receipts and expenses for the larger units are about twice that of the smaller units, but the difference in the "bottom line" is only about $\$ 4,000$.

For the larger units, assets and liabilities are about twice the size of the smaller units and the financial ratios reveal a relatively good position for both sets of operators.

[^23]
## CROP - SWINE FARMS

Table 48
SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT
1988

ITEM
Operating Receipts
Operating Exp. b/f Int
Interest Expense
<\$150.000

NET CASH OPERATING INC $\$ 29,540$
Depreciation Expense
Change in Value of Inv.
Extra Ordinary Income
Sale of Assets
Debt Forgiveness
BEFORE TAX RETURN Inc Tax Paid (1987)
AFTER TAX RETURN
Off-Farm Income
Withdrawls (Fam Living)
ADD TO OWNER EQUITY
\$8,889
\$6,090
( $\mathrm{N}=109$ )
\$99,024 \$261,139
\$62,452 \$165,621
$\$ 7.031 \quad \$ 16.009$
\$79,510 \$20,119
(\$964)
\$1,258
$\$ 590$

| $\$ 26,742$ | $\$ 60,275$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 2.746$ | $\$ 6.307$ |
| $\$ 23,995$ | $\$ 53,967$ |
| $\$ 9,946$ | $\$ 8,549$ |
| $\$ 16.685$ | $\$ 23.217$ |
| $\$ 17,256$ | $\$ 39,300$ |

$\$ 6.307$

88,54
$\$ 23.217$

Table 49
SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET
Dec. 31, 1988

| ITEM | $\frac{\leq \$ 150.000}{(N=109)}$ | $\frac{2 \$ 150.000}{(N=159)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSEIS |  |  |
| Current Assets | \$63,911 | \$142,819 |
| Intermediate Assets | \$40,512 | \$81,341 |
| Long-Term Assets | \$91.089 | \$218,596 |
| Total Bus Assets | \$195,512 | \$442,756 |
| Personal Assets | \$32,095 | \$45,005 |
| TOTAL ASSETS LIABILITIES | \$227,607 | \$487,761 |
| Current Liabilities | \$9,794 | \$32,668 |
| Intermediate Liabilities | \$31,313 | \$49,263 |
| Long-Term Liabilities | \$49,667 | \$ $\$ 113.959$ |
| Total Bus Llebilities | \$90,774 | \$195,890 |
| Personal Liabilities | \$2.162 | \$3.133 |
| total liabilities EQUITY | \$92,936 | \$199,023 |
| Business Equity | \$104,737 | \$246,866 |
| TOTAL EQUITY | \$134,671 | \$288,738 |
| TOT LIAB \& OWNER EQUITY RATIOS: | \$227,607 | \$487,761 |
| Current Ratio ${ }^{1}$ | 6.49 | 4.37 |
| Working Capital Ratio ${ }^{2}$ | 2.76 | 2.85 |
| Debt-Asset Ratio ${ }^{3}$ | 0.37 | 0.40 |

[^24]CROP-SWINE FARMS
AVERAGE FARM SIZE


Figure 38

The larger units operate about 525 acres of land compared to 325 acres for the smaller farms.

Receipts, expenses, net cash operating income and the addition to owners equity are all 2.0-2.5 times greater for the larger sized farms.

Assets, liabilities and owners equity for the larger farms are about twice that of the smaller ones.

The financial ratios of both sets of operations reveals a relatively sound financial position.

## ALL OTHER FARMS

SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT 1988


## SUMMARY BALANCE SHEET

Dec. 31, 1988

## ITEM

ASSEIS
Current Assets Intermediate Assets Long-Term Assets
Total Bus Assets
Personal Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
LIABILITIES
Current Liabilifies
Intermediate Liabilities
Long-Term Liabilities
Total Bus Llabilitios
Personal Liabilities
TOTAL LIABILITIES EOUITY
Business Equily
TOTAL EQUITY
TOT LIAB \& OWNER EQUITY BATIOS:

| Current Ratio $^{1}$ | 4.25 | 3.38 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Working Capital Ratio $^{2}$ | 2.05 | 2.08 |
| Debt-Asset Ratio $^{3}$ | 0.48 | 0.46 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\leq \$ 150.000 \\ (\mathrm{~N}=110) & \geq \$ 150.000 \\ (\mathrm{~N}=101)\end{array}$
\$55,182 \$176,822
\$42,580 \$92,971
$\$ 95.061 \quad \$ 216.789$
$\$ 192,823 \quad \$ 486,583$ $\$ 30.155 \quad \$ 45.139$
$\$ 222,879 \quad \$ 531,722$
\$13,371 \$55,033
$\$ 34,900 \quad \$ 73,029$
$\$ 54.553 \quad \$ 109.904$
$\$ 102,825 \quad \$ 237,966$
$\$ 5.678$ \$3.711
$\$ 108,503 \$ 241,677$
\$89,999
\$114,476 \$222,979
4.25
2.08
0.46

[^25]
## SECTION VI
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## APPENDIX A

## MINNESOTA FARM FINANCIAL SURVEY

## MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

1. County of Farming Operation
2. Age of Operator
3. Total Acres in 1988 Operation
(Tillable and Nontillable)
a. Owned Acres
b. Cash Rented Acres
c. Share Rented -_Acres
d. Rented to Others

Total Land Operated Acres
$(a+b+c-d)$
4. Report Current Asset Values and Outstanding Debt on Jan. 1, 1988 and Jan. 1, 1989, below: ASSETS

## Current Market Value

|  | Jan. 1.1988 | Jan. 1. 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Farm Bus. Assets | \$ | \$ |
| Intermediate Farm Bus. Assets | \$ | \$ |
| Long-Term_Farm Bus. Assets | \$ | \$ |
| Iotal Business Assets | \$ | \$ |
| Personal(Non-Business) Assets | \$ | \$ |
| Total Assets | \$ | \$ |

Current Farm Bus. Debt Intermediate Farm Bus. Debt Long-Term Farm Bus. Debt Total Business Debt Personal(Non-Business) Debt Total Debt

LIABILITIES
Outstanding Liabilities

## Jan. 1. 1988

\$ $\qquad$

$\qquad$
\$
\$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$
5. Liquidation of Assets. Proceeds from the liquidation of assets in 1988. (Include the sale of real estate, equipment, breeding stock, etc.).

> Real Estate Non-Real Estate
\$
$\$$ $\qquad$
6. Debt Forgiveness, Write-downs or write-offs of principal and interest.
A. Principal

Real Estate
Non-Real Estate

B. Interest

Real Estate Non-Real Estate
$\$$ $\qquad$
7. Total Cash Farm Receipts for agricultural products sold during 1988. (Include government payments and CCC "loans" on 1987 crops that were taken out after January I, 1988, and forfeited before January I, 1989).

| Cash Grain | $\$$ | Other (Crops/Livestock): |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dairy Livestock | $\$$ | Govt. Payments |
| Dairy Products | $\$$ | Other (Farm Related): |
| Poultry/Eggs | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| Beef | $\$$ |  |
| Swine | $\$$ | TOTALRECEIPTS |

8. Iotal Off-Farm Income earned in 1988 by operator(s) and/or their spouses who work this farm.
\$ $\qquad$
9. Change in Value of Inventories for 1988. (Feed, Grain, Marketing Livestock, Supplies, Prepaid Expenses, Accounts Receivable, Accounts Payable; DO NOT INCLUDE_Breeding Livestock, Machinery, Buildings or Land).
\$ $\qquad$
10. Total Cash Production Expenses for 1988. (include the cost of feed, fuel, interest, taxes, seed, fertilizer, etc. DO NOT include depreciation.
\$ $\qquad$
A. Total Depreciation Expense for 1988
\$
Iolal Paid
B. Interest Expense for 1988
\$ $\qquad$
11. In Addition to the Production Expenses of Question 10, record the Other "Fixed" Obligations for 1988.

Iotal Paid
A. Annual Principal Reduction Payments

1. Real Estate
2. Non-Real Estate
B. Family Living Expenses
C. Social Security \& Income Taxes
D. Other (Specify) $\qquad$
\$
\$
$\$$

3. Purchase of New Capital Assets; Cash or Cash Down Payment for the purchase of real estate, equipment, breeding stock, etc.
A. Real Estate
B. Non-Real Estate
$\$$

4. Major Creditors_(Identify the major creditor for real estate and non-real estate loans).
A. Real Estate
(Code: 1-6)
B. Non-Real Estate
(Code: 1-6)
(Codes: 1-FCS; 2-Com. Bank; 3-FmHA; 4-Private; 5-Ins. Co.; 6-Other)

## APPENDIX B

## FARM OPERATOR CHARACTERICS

FARM OPERATOR AGE DISTRIBUTION

1988


Figure 1

FARM SIZE DISTRIBUTION 1988


FARM ENTERPRISE DISTRIBUTION


## AVERAGE FARM SIZE <br> by <br> FARM ENTERPRISE



FARM ENTERPRISE CLASSIFICATION
Figure 4

## CASH FARM RECEIPTS DISTRIBUTION

 1988

## OFF-FARM INCOME DISTRIBUTION 1988

 OFF-FARM INCOME

Figure 6

# OPERATOR AGE DISTRIBUTION <br> ' 88 MFFS vs ' 87 Census 



Figure 7

FARM SIZE DISTRIBUTION
'88 MFFS vs '87 CENSUS


Figure 8

## VALUE OF SALES DISTRIBUTION

'88 MFFS vs '87 CENSUS


Figure 9

Table 1
FARM FINANCIAL CONDITON
BY
DEBT-ASSET RATIO
1988

DEBT-ASSEI RATIO

| FINANCIAL CONDITION | $\leq 40$ | $40-70$ | $\geq 70$ | Iotal |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 118 | 71 | 52 | 241 |
| WEAK WEAK | 50 | 163 | 305 | 518 |
| MODERATE | 59 | 232 | 207 | 498 |
| STRONG | 760 | $\underline{471}$ | 164 | $\underline{1395}$ |
| Total | 987 | 937 | 728 | 2652 |

## APPENDIX C

## MINNESOTA PRICES FOR CROPS AND LIVESTOCK



Source: Minnesota Agriculture Statistics, 1999; MINNESOTA AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS SERVICE.

## APPENDIX D

## MINNESOTA FARM INCOME INDICATORS

## MINNESOTA <br> FARM INCOME INDICATORS ${ }^{1}$

|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Million Dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Farm Receipts | \$7,118.30 | \$6,699.90 | \$7,229.10 | \$6,965.30 | \$7,149.50 |
| Cash Production Exp. | \$5,127.80 | \$5,261.10 | \$4,916.00 | \$4,401.00 | \$4,446.00 |
| Net Cash Farm Inc. | \$1,990.50 | \$1,438.80 | \$2,313.00 | \$2,564.30 | \$2,703.30 |
| Off-Farm Income | \$984.20 | \$1,035.00 | \$1,132.60 | \$1,186.80 | \$1,243.40 |
| Total Cash Income | \$2,974.70 | \$2,473.80 | \$3,445.60 | \$9,751.10 | \$3,946.70 |
| Number of Farms | 102,000 | 101,000 | 96,000 | 93,000 | 92,000 |

## PER FARM

| Cash Farm Receipts | \$69,787 | \$66,336 | \$75,303 | \$74,896 | \$77,712 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Production Exp. | \$50,273 | \$52,090 | \$51,209 | \$47,323 | \$48,326 |
| Net Cash Farm Inc. | \$19,514 | \$14,245 | \$24,094 | \$27,573 | \$29,384 |
| Off-Farm Income | \$9,649 | \$10,248 | \$11,798 | \$12,761 | \$13,515 |
| Total Cash Income | \$29,163 | \$24,493 | \$35,892 | \$40,334 | \$42,899 |

[^26]
## APPENDIX E

MINNESOTA BALANCE SHEET AND SOURCES OF CREDIT

| MINNESOTA BALANCE SHEET |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| ASSETS |  | (Million | of Dollars) |  |  |
| Real Estate | \$23,226 | \$17,204 | \$13,760 | \$15,711 | \$16,820 |
| Nonreal Estate | \$13,034 | \$12,686 | \$9,912 | \$9,901 | \$10,984 |
| Total | \$36,260 | \$29,890 | \$23,672 | \$25,612 | \$27,804 |
| DEBTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate | \$5,377 | \$5091 | \$4,511 | \$4,030 | \$3,753 |
| Nonreal Estate | \$5,689 | \$5,665 | \$3,240 | \$2,943 | \$2,925 |
| Total | \$11,066 | \$10,756 | \$7,751 | \$6,973 | \$6,678 |
| EQUITY | \$25,194 | \$19,134 | \$15,921 | \$18,639 | \$21,126 |
| Debt/Asset Ratio (\%) | 27.4 | 28.5 | 32.7 | 27.2 | 24.1 |
|  | SOURCES OF FARM CREDIT |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1284 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| REAL ESTATE |  | (Million | of Dollars) |  |  |
| Farm Credit Services | \$2,698 | \$2,530 | \$1,927 | \$1,665 | \$1,522 |
| Commercial Banks | \$372 | \$389 | \$418 | \$489 | \$579 |
| Farmers Home Adm | \$391 | \$419 | \$391 | \$381 | \$356 |
| Private | \$1,917 | \$1,744 | \$1,431 | \$1,229 | \$1,074 |
| Other | \$415 | \$396 | \$344 | \$266 | \$222 |
| TOTAL | \$5,793 | \$5,479 | \$4,511 | \$4,030 | \$3,753 |
| NONREALESTATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm Credit Services | \$1,104 | \$843 | \$542 | \$492 | \$447 |
| Commercial Banks | \$2,408 | \$2,051 | \$1,580 | \$1,400 | \$1,454 |
| Farmers Home Adm. | \$519 | \$617 | \$584 | \$580 | \$535 |
| Private | \$801 | \$671 | \$534 | \$469 | \$489 |
| TOTAL | \$4,832 | \$4,181 | \$3,240 | \$2,943 | \$2,925 |
| PERCENT OF CREDIT MARKET |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1980 | 1984 | 1988 |  |
| REAL ESTA |  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |  |
| Farm | dit Services | 38.1 | 46.6 | 40.6 |  |
| Comme | al Banks | 5.6 | 6.4 | 15.4 |  |
| Farmer | ome Adm | 6.2 | 6.7 | 9.5 |  |
| Private |  | 40.6 | 33.1 | 28.6 |  |
| Other |  | 9.5 | 7.2 | 5.9 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| NONREALESTATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm | dit Services | 25.6 | 22.8 | 15.3 |  |
| Comme | al Banks | 46.2 | 49.9 | 49.7 |  |
| Farmer | ome Adm. | 9.6 | 10.7 | 18.3 |  |
| Private |  | 18,6 | 16.6 | 16.7 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

[^27]
## APPENDIX F

## MINNESOTA FARMLAND VALUES

## ESTIMATED MINNESOTA FARMLAND VALUES ${ }^{1}$ 1972-1988

| YEAR | Southeast | Southwest | West Central | East Central | Northwest | Northeast | State Average |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | $\$ 370$ | $\$ 379$ | $\$ 208$ | $\$ 163$ | $\$ 117$ | $\$ 76$ | $\$ 248$ |
| 1973 | $\$ 433$ | $\$ 459$ | $\$ 247$ | $\$ 194$ | $\$ 146$ | $\$ 115$ | $\$ 298$ |
| 1974 | $\$ 576$ | $\$ 675$ | $\$ 378$ | $\$ 279$ | $\$ 199$ | $\$ 144$ | $\$ 423$ |
| 1975 | $\$ 674$ | $\$ 844$ | $\$ 503$ | $\$ 296$ | $\$ 295$ | $\$ 163$ | $\$ 525$ |
| 1976 | $\$ 856$ | $\$ 1,106$ | $\$ 624$ | $\$ 349$ | $\$ 378$ | $\$ 210$ | $\$ 667$ |
| 1977 | $\$ 1,027$ | $\$ 1,316$ | $\$ 730$ | $\$ 415$ | $\$ 427$ | $\$ 279$ | $\$ 794$ |
| 1978 | $\$ 1,191$ | $\$ 1,421$ | $\$ 803$ | $\$ 498$ | $\$ 483$ | $\$ 304$ | $\$ 889$ |
| 1979 | $\$ 1,453$ | $\$ 1,620$ | $\$ 883$ | $\$ 573$ | $\$ 599$ | $\$ 368$ | $\$ 1,040$ |
| 1980 | $\$ 1,526$ | $\$ 1,750$ | $\$ 962$ | $\$ 596$ | $\$ 683$ | $\$ 390$ | $\$ 1,120$ |
| 1981 | $\$ 1,709$ | $\$ 2,083$ | $\$ 1,135$ | $\$ 679$ | $\$ 813$ | $\$ 460$ | $\$ 1,310$ |
| 1982 | $\$ 1,504$ | $\$ 1,875$ | $\$ 1,044$ | $\$ 584$ | $\$ 748$ | $\$ 483$ | $\$ 1,179$ |
| 1983 | $\$ 1,354$ | $\$ 1,669$ | $\$ 981$ | $\$ 581$ | $\$ 658$ | $\$ 411$ | $\$ 1,065$ |
| 1984 | $\$ 1,164$ | $\$ 1,401$ | $\$ 867$ | $\$ 873$ | $\$ 505$ | $\$ 586$ | $\$ 436$ |

${ }^{1}$ Andrew Schwab and Phillip M. Raup; "The Minnesota Rural Real Estate Market in 1988"; MINNESOTA AGRICULTURAL ECONOMIST, March 1989.

## APPENDIX G

## RENTER-OPERATOR vs OWNER-OPERATOR CHARACTERICS

## OPERATOR AGE DISTRIBUTION



## CASH FARM RECEIPTS DISTRIBUTION



## MAJOR FARM ENTERPRISES



FARM ENTERPRISES

## DISTRIBUTION BY DEBT-ASSET RATIO



TABLE 1

|  | $\%$ OFOPERATORS | $\%$ TOTAL LAND OPERATED |  | AVE. FARM SIZE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19 | 12 | 342 |  |
| RENTER-OPERATOR | 19 | 16 | 431 |  |
| OWNER-OPERATOR | 19 | 72 | 628 |  |

# APPENDIX H <br> FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION <br> AND <br> FARM CREDIT SERVICES 

## LOAN STATUS

FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION

Total Active Borrowers
Total Delinquent Borrowers
Percent Delinquent (\%)
Total Active Loans
Total Delinquent Loans
Percent Delinquent (\%)

| Dec. 1985 | Nov. 1986 | Dec. 1987 | Dec. 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10,024 | 10,072 | 9,134 | 8,468 |
| 2,922 | 3,327 | 2,820 | 2,778 |
| 29.2 | 33.0 | 30.9 | 32.8 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 27,034 | 26,941 | 23,918 | 22,374 |
| 9,435 | 10,153 | 8,915 | 8,721 |
| 34.9 | 37.7 | 37.3 | 39.0 |

## FARM CREDIT SERVICES

## PRODUCTIQN CREDTI ASSOCIATIONS OF MINNESOTA

|  | June. 1985 | Sept. 1986 | Sept. 1987 | Sept. 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMBER OF LOANS |  |  |  |  |
| Loans outstanding | 11,935 | 10,832 | 9,685 | 8,044 |
| Delinquencies | 681 | 1648 | 833 | 348 |
| Bankruptcies in Process | 89 | 110 | 46 | 35 |
| Foreclosures in Process | 62 | 42 | 35 | 70 |
| Foreclosure in Bankruptcy | 27 | 22 | 12 | 7 |
| VOLUME OF LOANS (Thousand Dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| Loans outstanding | \$880,785 | \$656,383 | \$496,639 | \$435,118 |
| Delinquencies | \$70,490 | \$151,650 | \$65,336 | \$20,065 |
| Bankruptcies in Process | \$13,444 | \$8,363 | \$3,542 | \$1,923 |
| Foreclosures in Process | \$8,132 | \$5,093 | \$3,287 | \$4,770 |
| Foreclosure in Bankruptcy | \$3,204 | \$1,884 | \$1,182 | \$359 |

FEDERAL LAND BANK ASSOCIATIONS OF MINNESOTA

## NUMBEROFLOANS

Loans outstanding
Delinquencies Delinquencies Bankruptcies in Process
Foreclosures in Process
Foreclosure in Bankruptcy
June. 1985

VOLUME OF LOANS (Thousand Dollars)
Loans outstanding
Delinquencies
Bankruptcies in Process
Foreclosures in Process
Foreclosure in Bankruptcy
\$2,472,440
\$33,343
\$17,660
\$92,268
\$4,196

Sept. 1986
26,231
4,423
178
1,195
22
\$2,094,257
\$89,215
\$23,628
\$177,393 $\$ 3,674$

## APPENDIX I

## HISTORICAL INTEREST RATE SERIES

|  | PRIME RATE ${ }^{1}$ <br> (Percent) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FEDERAL } \\ & \text { LAND BANK }^{2} \\ & \text { (Percent) } \end{aligned}$ | FEDERAL INTERMEDIATE CREDIT BANK ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1973 | ${ }^{(\text {Percent) }} 8$ | (Percent) 7.50 | (Percent) |
| 1974 | 10.81 | 8.50 | 9.10 |
| 1975 | 7.86 | 8.50 | 7.60 |
| 1976 | 6.84 | 8.50 | 7.10 |
| 1977 | 6.83 | 8.25 | 7.30 |
| 1978 | 9.06 | 8.25 | 9.10 |
| 1979 | 12.67 | 9.75 | 11.30 |
| 1980 | 15.27 | 10.25 | 12.80 |
| 1981 | 18.87 | 11.50 | 15.10 |
| 1982 | 14.84 | 12.50 | 12.40 |
| 1983 | 10.79 | 11.25 | 10.90 |
| 1984 | 12.04 | 12.00 | 11.70 |
| 1985 | 9.93 | 12.75 | 10.70 |
| 1986 | 8.33 | 11.75 | 9.30 |
| 1987 | 8.21 | 10.85 | 8.70 |
| 1988 | 9.32 | 11.25 | 9.00 |

[^28]
[^0]:    1 This table is a summary of all the data reports used. However, all operators did not answer each question. Hence, the columns may not add due to missing data from any given operator.
    2 Principal reduction payments, family living expenses plus social security and income taxes. All interest expenses are included in cash production expenses. Annual principal reduction payments for 1988 were calculated at the rate of $12 \%$ of nonreal estate liabilities and $6 \%$ of real estate liabilities.
    3 Income divided by "Fixed Obligations". This ratio will be "1.0" or greater if these obligations are fully covered.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Appendix D, "Minnesota Farm Income Indicators." USDA defines a "Yarm" as a place that sells or normally sells at least $\$ 1,000$ of agricultural products annually.

[^2]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    ${ }^{3}$ Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Debt Service Coverage Batio: Total net cash income plus interest payments less family living and income taxes divided by debt service costs (interest plus principal payments).
    2 The four categories and explanations were developed by the Minnesota Department of Agriculture and approved by the reviewers of this report.

[^4]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermodiate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Debt Service Coverage Ratic: Total net cash income plus interest payments less family living and income taxes divided by debt service costs (interest plus principal payments).

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dabt Service Coverage Ratio: Total net cash income plus Interest payments less family living and income taxes divided by debt service costs (interest plus principal payments).

[^7]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Debt Service Coverage Ratie: Total net cash income plus interest payments less family living and income taxes divided by debt service costs (interest plus principal payments).

[^9]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Debt Service Coverage Ratio: Total net cash income plus interest payments less family living and income taxes divided by debt service costs (interest plus principal payments).

[^11]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^13]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^14]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by curtent plus intermediate liabilíties.
    3 Total habilities divided by total assets.

[^15]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^16]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^17]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^18]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^19]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^20]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^21]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^22]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.

[^23]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^24]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^25]:    1 Current assets divided by current liabilities.
    2 Current plus intermediate assets divided by current plus intermediate liabilities.
    3 Total liabilities divided by total assets.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source: Economic Indicators of the Farm Sector, State Financial Summary, 1987; U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. The USDA defines a "farm" as a place that sells or normally sells at least $\$ 1,000$ of agricultural products annually.

[^27]:    Source: Economic Indicators of the Farm Sector, State Financial Summary; U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Washingtion D.C.

[^28]:    1 Source: Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis
    2 Source: Farm Credit Bank, St. Paul
    ${ }^{3}$ Source: Farm Credit Bank, St. Paul

